arXiv:1410.8223v1 [math-ph] 30 Oct 2014

Dimer-monomer Model on the Towers of Hanoi Graphs *

Hanlin Chen, Renfang Wu, Guihua Huang, Hanyuan Deng†

College of Mathematics and Computer Science,

Hunan Normal University, Changsha, Hunan 410081, P. R. China

Abstract

The number of dimer-monomers (matchings) of a graph G is an important graph parameter in statistical physics. Following recent research, we study the asymptotic behavior of the number of dimer-monomers $m(G)$ on the Towers of Hanoi graphs and another variation of the Sierpiński graphs which is similar to the Towers of Hanoi graphs, and derive the recursion relations for the numbers of dimer-monomers. Upper and lower bounds for the entropy per site, defined as $\mu_G = \lim_{v(G)\to\infty} \frac{\ln m(G)}{v(G)}$, where $v(G)$ is the number of vertices in a graph G, on these Sierpiński graphs are derived in terms of the numbers at a certain stage. As the difference between these bounds converges quickly to zero as the calculated stage increases, the numerical value of the entropy can be evaluated with more than a hundred significant figures accuracy.

PACS numbers: 02.10.Ox, 05.20.-y

Keywords: Dimer-monomer model; the Tower of Hanoi graph; Sierpiński graph; recursion relation; entropy; asymptotic enumeration

1 Introduction

A classical model is the enumeration of the number of dimer-monomers $m(G)$ on a graph G in statistical physics (known as dimer-monomer model; see [5, 6, 7, 20]) and combinatorial chemistry ($m(G)$ is the Hosoya index; see [8]). In the dimer-monomer model of statistical physics, each diatomic molecule is regarded as a dimer which occupies two adjacent sites of the graph. The sites that are not covered by any dimers are considered as occupied by monomers. Since the general dimer-monomer problem was shown to be computationally intractable [13], it is of interest to consider the enumeration of the number of dimer-monomers for some classes of graphs, and it has already been investigated extensively for trees, hexagonal chains, grid graphs, and random graphs [1, 13, 14].

*Project supported by Hunan Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (13JJ3053).

†Corresponding author: hydeng@hunnu.edu.cn

Recently, the enumeration of the number of dimer-monomers has been considered in the physical literature for fractals and self-similar graphs [3, 17, 18]. Following recent research on this graph parameter in connection with self-similar, fractal-like graphs, we will derive the recursion relations for the numbers of dimer-monomers on the Towers of Hanoi graphs and another variation of the Sierpiński graphs, and determine the entropies.

2 Preliminaries

We first recall some basic definitions about graphs. A graph $G = (V, E)$ with vertex set V and edge set E is always supposed to be undirected, without loops or multiple edges. Two vertices (sites) x and y are adjacent if xy is an edge (bond) in E. Let $v(G) = |V|$ be the number of vertices and $e(G) = |E|$ the number of edges in G. A matching (dimer-monomer) is a independent edge subset. The number of matchings a graph G is denoted by $m(G)$, which is a parameter that is of relevance, among others, in dimer-monomer model of statistical physics and combinatorial chemistry. A parameter that is of particular interest is the entropy

$$\mu_v = \lim_{v(G)\to\infty} \frac{\ln m(G)}{v(G)} \quad \text{or} \quad \mu_e = \lim_{e(G)\to\infty} \frac{\ln m(G)}{e(G)}$$

On the analysis of large systems of recurrences, we will see that the limit exists for the Towers of Hanoi graphs and another variation of the Sierpiński graphs considered in this paper.

There are many different approaches to construct self-similar graphs. A construction that is specifically geared to be used in the context of enumeration was described in [17], it is no restated and we will also make use of it here. Some examples can be seen in [17, 19].

Example 1. The Tower of Hanoi graph, invented in 1883 by the French mathematician Edouard Lucas, has become a classic example in the analysis of algorithms and discrete mathematical structures [2, 4, 9, 10, 11, 12, 15, 16]. It is a self-similar graph and a slightly modified version of the Sierpiński graphs, see Figure 1. The vertices of the graph H_k in this sequence correspond to all possible configurations of the game Towers of Hanoi with $k+1$ disks and three rods, whereas the edges describe transitions between configurations. The Tower of Hanoi graphs can be constructed following recursive-modular method. For $n = 0$, H_0 is the complete graph K_3 (i.e., a 3-clique or triangle). For $n \geq 1$, H_n is obtained from three graphs H_{n-1} by connecting them with three new edges.



Figure 1: The Tower of Hanoi graphs H_0, H_1, H_2 and the construction of H_n.

Example 2. The second example is another variation of the Sierpiński graphs (similar to the Towers of Hanoi graphs) shown in Figure 2, where $v(X_n) = \frac{7\times 3^n-1}{2}$ and $e(X_n) = \frac{5\times 3^{n+1}-9}{2}$. Teufl and Wagner [19] established a relation between the asymptotic growth of the number of spanning trees and so-called (resistance) renormalization on X_n.



Figure 2: The first three graphs X_0, X_1, X_2 and the construction of X_n.

3 The number of Dimer-monomers on H_n

In this section, we shall derive the recursion relations and the entropy per site for the number of dimer-monomers on the Tower of Hanoi graph H_n in detail.



Figure 3: Illustration for the configurations x_n, y_n, z_n, w_n and S_n, R_n, T_n, P_n, where each open (solid) circle is occupied by a monomer (dimer), and each empty squre is occupied by either a monomer or a dimer.

For the Tower of Hanoi graph H_n, m_n is its number of dimer-monomers, x_n is its number of dimer-monomers such that all three outmost vertices are occupied by monomers,

y_n is its number of dimer-monomers such that only one specified vertex of three outmost vertices is occupied by a dimer and the other two outmost vertices are occupied by monomers, z_n is its number of dimer-monomers such that exact two specified vertices of the three outmost vertices are occupied by dimers and the other one is occupied by a monomer, w_n is its number of dimer-monomers such that all three outmost vertices are occupied by dimers. They are illustrated in Figure 3, where only the outmost vertices are shown and a solid circle is occupied by a dimer and an open circle is occupied by a monomer. Because of rotational symmetry, there are three possible y_n and three possible z_n such that

$$m_n = x_n + 3y_n + 3z_n + w_n$$

and $x_0 = 1$, $y_0 = 0$, $z_0 = 1$, $w_0 = 0$, $m_0 = x_0 + 3y_0 + 3z_0 + w_0 = 4$.

Thus, we have reduced the problem of determining m_n to finding the four quantities x_n, y_n, z_n and w_n, which is the theme of what follows. To find these intermediate variables, we define four additional quantities S_n, R_n, T_n and P_n, see Figure 3. Let S_n be the number of dimer-monomer arrangements on H_n such that one outmost vertex is occupied by either a monomer or a dimer while the other two outmost vertices are occupied by monomers. Similarly, one can define the quantities R_n, T_n and P_n. By definitions, we have $S_n = x_n + y_n$, $R_n = y_n + z_n$, $T_n = x_n + 2y_n + z_n$ and $P_n = y_n + 2z_n + w_n$.

Lemma 1. *For any positive integer n,*

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} =& 8x_n^3 + 24x_n^2y_n + 6x_n^2z_n + 30x_ny_n^2 + 18x_ny_nz_n \\ &+ 3x_nz_n^2 + 14y_n^3 + 15y_n^2z_n + 6y_nz_n^2 + z_n^3, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} y_{n+1} =& 8x_n^2y_n + 8x_n^2z_n + 2x_n^2w_n + 16x_ny_n^2 + 24x_ny_nz_n + 6x_ny_nw_n + 6x_nz_n^2 + 2x_nz_nw_n \\ &+ 10y_n^3 + 20y_n^2z_n + 5y_n^2w_n + 11y_nz_n^2 + 4y_nz_nw_n + 2z_n^3 + z_n^2w_n, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} z_{n+1} =& 8x_ny_n^2 + 16x_ny_nz_n + 4x_ny_nw_n + 10x_nz_n^2 + 6x_nz_nw_n + x_nw_n^2 + 8y_n^3 + 22y_n^2z_n \\ &+ 6y_n^2w_n + 20y_nz_n^2 + 12y_nz_nw_n + 2y_nw_n^2 + 5z_n^3 + 4z_n^2w_n + z_nw_n^2, \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

$$\begin{aligned} w_{n+1} =& 8y_n^3 + 24y_n^2z_n + 6y_n^2w_n + 30y_nz_n^2 + 18y_nz_nw_n \\ &+ 3y_nw_n^2 + 14z_n^3 + 15z^2w_n + 6z_nw_n^2 + w_n^3 \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

with the initial values $x_1 = 18$, $y_1 = 16$, $z_1 = 15$ and $w_1 = 14$ at stage $n = 1$.

Proof. Note that H_{n+1} is obtained from three copies of graph H_n connected by three new edges, see Figure 1. To simplify our calculation, we introduce the following the classes of dimer-monomers in H_{n+1} in terms of the subset of three new edges, which can be contained in the matching (i.e. two terminal vertices of the new edges in the subset are occupied by dimers). The number x_{n+1} consists of (i) one configuration where none of new edges are contained in the matching; (ii) three configurations where one of new edges is contained in the matching; (iii) three configurations where two of new edges are contained in the matching; (iv) one configuration where all of the three new edges are contained in the matching, as illustrated in Figure 4.



Figure 4: Illustration for the expression of x_{n+1}.The multiplication of three on the right-hand-side corresponds to the three possible orientations of H_{n+1}. Here, we just present the status of three copies of graph H_n, which contributed to H_{n+1}, and the two terminal vertices of new adding edge are omit, when it occupied by two dimers(i.e.the edge is covered by a matching), we use a red line instead, otherwise, a gray line.

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} &= T_n^3 + 3S_n^2T_n + 3x_nS_n^2 + x_n^3 \\ &= (x_n + 2y_n + z_n)^3 + 3(x_n + z_n)^2(x_n + 2y_n + z_n) + 3x_n(x_n + y_n)^2 + x_n^3 \\ &= 8x_n^3 + 24x_n^2y_n + 6x_n^2z_n + 30x_ny_n^2 + 18x_ny_nz_n + 3x_nz_n^2 + 14y_n^3 + 15y_n^2z_n + 6y_nz_n^2 + z_n^3. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the expressions of y_{n+1}, z_{n+1} and w_{n+1} can be obtained with appropriate configurations of its three H_n as illustrated in Figures 5-7. □



Figure 5: Illustration for the expression of y_{n+1}. The multiplication of two on the right-hand-side corresponds to the reflection symmetry with respect to the central vertical axis.

In the following, we will estimate the value $\mu_G = \lim_{v\to\infty} \frac{\ln m(G)}{v(G)}$ of the entropy for the Tower of Hanoi graph $G = H_n$. The values of x_n, y_n, z_n, w_n for small n are listed in Table



Figure 6: Illustration for the expression of z_{n+1}. The multiplication of two on the right-hand-side corresponds to the reflection symmetry with respect to the central vertical axis.



Figure 7: Illustration for the expression of w_{n+1}. The multiplication of three on the right-hand-side corresponds to the three possible orientations of H_{n+1}.

Table 1: The first few values of x_n, y_n, z_n, w_n.

n	0	1	2	3
x_n	1	18	568,301	18,782,596,680,434,061,312
y_n	0	16	521,504	17,236,435,531,779,805,184
z_n	1	15	478,579	15,817,552,541,478,490,112
w_n	0	14	439,204	14,515,470,321,889,908,736

Table 2: The first few values of $\alpha_n, \beta_n, \gamma_n$.

n	1	2	3
α_n	0.888888888888889	0.917654552781009	0.9176811824818183
β_n	0.9375	0.917689988955022	0.9176811825342172
γ_n	0.933333333333333	0.917725182258311	0.9176811825866157

1 by Eqs. (1)-(4), and grow exponentially. For the Tower of Hanoi graph H_n, we define the ratios

$$\alpha_n = \frac{y_n}{x_n}, \quad \beta_n = \frac{z_n}{y_n}, \quad \gamma_n = \frac{w_n}{z_n} \tag{5}$$

where n is a positive integer. Their values for small n are listed in Table 2. From the initial values of x_n, y_n, z_n, w_n, it is easy to see that $x_n > y_n > z_n > w_n$ and it holds for all positive integer n proved easily by induction. It follows that $\alpha_n, \beta_n, \gamma_n \in (0, 1)$.

Lemma 2. *For any positive integer $n(n \geq 2)$, the ratios satisfy*

$$\alpha_n < \beta_n < \gamma_n.$$

When n increases, the ratio α_n increases monotonically while γ_n decreases monotonically.

The three ratios in the large n limit are equal to each other

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\alpha_n=\lim_{n\to\infty}\beta_n=\lim_{n\to\infty}\gamma_n.$$

Proof. By the definition of $\alpha_n,\beta_n,\gamma_n$, we have

$$\alpha_{n+1}=\alpha_n\frac{B_n}{A_n},\ \beta_{n+1}=\alpha_n\frac{C_n}{B_n},\ \gamma_{n+1}=\alpha_n\frac{D_n}{C_n}$$

for a positive integer n, where

$A_n=8+24\alpha_n+6\alpha_n\beta_n+30\alpha_n^2+18\alpha_n^2\beta_n+3\alpha_n^2\beta_n^2+14\alpha_n^3+15\alpha_n^3\beta_n+6\alpha_n^3\beta_n^2+\alpha_n^3\beta_n^3$,

$B_n=8+8\beta_n+2\beta_n\gamma_n+16\alpha_n+24\alpha_n\beta_n+6\alpha_n\beta_n\gamma_n+6\alpha_n\beta_n^2+2\alpha_n\beta_n^2\gamma_n+10\alpha_n^2+20\alpha_n^2\beta_n+5\alpha_n^2\beta_n\gamma_n+11\alpha_n^2\beta_n^2+4\alpha_n^2\beta_n^2\gamma_n+2\alpha_n^2\beta_n^3+\alpha_n^2\beta_n^3\gamma_n$,

$C_n=8+16\beta_n+4\beta_n\gamma_n+10\beta_n^2+6\beta_n^2\gamma_n+\beta_n^2\gamma_n^2+8\alpha_n+22\alpha_n\beta_n+6\alpha_n\beta_n\gamma_n+20\alpha_n\beta_n^2+12\alpha_n\beta_n^2\gamma_n+2\alpha_n\beta_n^2\gamma_n^2+5\alpha_n\beta_n^3+4\alpha_n\beta_n^3\gamma_n+\alpha_n\beta_n^3\gamma_n^2$,

$D_n=8+24\beta_n+6\beta_n\gamma_n+30\beta_n^2+18\beta_n^2\gamma_n+3\beta_n^2\gamma_n^2+14\beta_n^3+15\beta_n^3\gamma_n+6\beta_n^3\gamma_n^2+\beta_n^3\gamma_n^3$.

In the following, we show that $\frac{1}{2}<\alpha_n<\beta_n<\gamma_n<1$ by induction on n. It is true for $n=2,3$ from Table 2. Suppose that $\frac{1}{2}<\alpha_n<\beta_n<\gamma_n<1$ for $n\geq 3$.

Let $\varepsilon_n=\alpha_n-\gamma_n$. Then $\varepsilon_n>\alpha_n-\beta_n$, $\varepsilon_n>\beta_n-\gamma_n$ and $\varepsilon_n\in(0,\frac{1}{2})$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_{n+1}-\alpha_n&=\alpha_n\frac{B_n}{A_n}-\alpha_n=\frac{\alpha_n(B_n-A_n)}{A_n}\\&=\frac{\alpha_n}{A_n}[(8+20\alpha_n+6\alpha_n\beta_n+14\alpha_n^2+10\alpha_n^2\beta_n+2\alpha_n^2\beta_n^2)(\beta_n-\alpha_n)+(2\beta_n+6\alpha_n\beta_n\\&+2\alpha_n\beta_n^2+5\alpha_n^2\beta_n+4\alpha_n^2\beta_n^2+\alpha_n^2\beta_n^3)(\gamma_n-\alpha_n)]\\&>0\end{aligned}$$

$$\beta_{n+1}-\alpha_{n+1}=\frac{\alpha_n(A_nC_n-B_n^2)}{A_nB_n}>0$$

where

$A_nC_n-B_n^2=(16+32\alpha_n+12\alpha_n^2+8\alpha_n\beta_n+6\alpha_n^2\beta_n^2+2\alpha_n\beta_n^3+\alpha_n^2\beta_n^3\gamma_n)(\beta_n-\alpha_n)^2+(16\beta_n+44\alpha_n\beta_n+4\beta_n^2+28\alpha_n^2\beta_n+12\alpha_n\beta_n^2+16\alpha_n^2\beta_n^2+4\alpha_n\beta_n^3+8\alpha_n^2\beta_n^3)(\beta_n-\alpha_n)(\gamma_n-\alpha_n)+(4\beta_n^2+22\alpha_n^2\beta_n^2+6\alpha_n\beta_n^3+14\alpha_n^3\beta_n^2+14\alpha_n^2\beta_n^3+13\alpha_n^3\beta_n^3+\alpha_n^2\beta_n^4+2\alpha_n^3\beta_n^4)(\gamma_n-\alpha_n)(\gamma_n-\beta_n)+(4\alpha_n\beta_n+16\alpha_n^2\beta_n+16\alpha_n^3\beta_n+14\alpha_n^2\beta_n^2+2\alpha_n\beta_n^3+8\alpha_n^3\beta_n^2)(\beta_n-\alpha_n)(\gamma_n-\beta_n)+16\alpha_n\beta_n^2(\gamma_n-\alpha_n)^2+(3\alpha_n^4\beta_n^2+4\alpha_n^4\beta_n^3+\alpha_n^4\beta_n^4)(\gamma_n-\beta_n)^2$

$A_nB_n>\alpha_n\{16+32\alpha_n+16\beta_n+56\alpha_n\beta_n+28\alpha_n\beta_n^2+44\alpha_n^2\beta_n+14\alpha_n\beta_n^3+16\alpha_n^3\beta_n+12\alpha_n^2+8\beta_n^2+58\alpha_n^2\beta_n^2+22\alpha_n^2\beta_n^3+22\alpha_n^3\beta_n^2+\alpha_n^2\beta_n^4+13\alpha_n^3\beta_n^3+3\alpha_n^4\beta_n^2+2\alpha_n^3\beta_n^4+4\alpha_n^4\beta_n^3+\alpha_n^4\beta_n^4+\alpha_n^2\beta_n^3\gamma_n\}$

And

$\beta_{n+1}-\alpha_{n+1}=\frac{\alpha_n(A_nC_n-B_n^2)}{A_nB_n}<\frac{\varepsilon_n^2}{A_nB_n}\{\alpha_n[16+32\alpha_n+16\beta_n+56\alpha_n\beta_n+28\alpha_n\beta_n^2+44\alpha_n^2\beta_n+14\alpha_n\beta_n^3+16\alpha_n^3\beta_n+12\alpha_n^2+8\beta_n^2+58\alpha_n^2\beta_n^2+22\alpha_n^2\beta_n^3+22\alpha_n^3\beta_n^2+\alpha_n^2\beta_n^4+13\alpha_n^3\beta_n^3+3\alpha_n^4\beta_n^2+$

$2\alpha_n^3\beta_n^4 + 4\alpha_n^4\beta_n^3 + \alpha_n^4\beta_n^4 + \alpha_n^2\beta_n^3\gamma_n]\} < \varepsilon_n^2$

since $\varepsilon_n > \alpha_n - \beta_n$ and $\varepsilon_n > \beta_n - \gamma_n$.

Similarly, we have

$$\gamma_{n+1} - \beta_{n+1} = \frac{\alpha_n(B_nD_n - C_n^2)}{B_nC_n} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_{n+1} - \beta_{n+1} < \varepsilon_n^2.$$

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_n - \gamma_{n+1} =& \frac{1}{C_n}(\gamma_n C_n - \alpha_n D_n)\\ =& \frac{1}{C_n}[(8 + 16\beta_n + 10\beta_n^2 + 4\beta_n\gamma_n + 6\beta_n^2\gamma_n + \beta_n^2\gamma_n^2)(\gamma_n - \alpha_n) +\\ & (8\alpha_n + 20\alpha_n\beta_n + 14\alpha_n\beta_n^2 + 6\alpha_n\beta_n\gamma_n + 10\alpha_n\beta_n^2\gamma_n + 2\alpha_n\beta_n^2\gamma_n^2)(\gamma_n - \beta_n)] > 0\end{aligned}$$

So, we have (i) $\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n > 0$, (ii) $0 < \beta_{n+1} - \alpha_{n+1} < \varepsilon_n^2$, (iii) $0 < \gamma_{n+1} - \beta_{n+1} < \varepsilon_n^2$ and (iv) $\gamma_n - \gamma_{n+1} > 0$.

From (ii) and (iii), we can obtain that $\varepsilon_{n+1} = \gamma_{n+1} - \alpha_{n+1} < 2\varepsilon_n^2 < \frac{1}{2}$ for all positive integer n by induction. It follows that for any positive integer $k \le n$,

$$\varepsilon_n < 2\varepsilon_{n-1}^2 < 2[2\varepsilon_{n-2}^2]^2 < ... < \frac{1}{2}[2\varepsilon_k]^{2^{n-k}}$$

Since $\varepsilon_k \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ for any positive integer k, we have that the values of $\alpha_n, \beta_n, \gamma_n$ are close to each other when n becomes large. □

Numerically, we can find

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \alpha_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} \beta_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} \gamma_n = 0.9176811825212464\cdots$$

From the lemmas above, we get the bounds for the number of dimer-monomers.

Lemma 3. *For any positive integer $k \le n$,*

$$x_k^{3^{n-k}}(\alpha_k^2 + 2\alpha_k + 2)^{\frac{3(3^{n-k}-1)}{2}}(1+\alpha_n)^3 < m(H_n) < x_k^{3^{n-k}}(\beta_k^2 + 2\beta_k + 2)^{\frac{3(3^{n-k}-1)}{2}}(1+\gamma_n)^3.$$

Proof. By Lemmas 1 and 2 and the definition of $\alpha_n, \beta_n, \gamma_n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}x_n =& x_{n-1}^3(8 + 24\alpha_n + 6\alpha_n\beta_n + 30\alpha_n^2 + 18\alpha_n^2\beta_n + 3\alpha_n^2\beta_n^2 + 14\alpha_n^3 + 15\alpha_n^3\beta_n + 6\alpha_n^3\beta_n^2 + \alpha_n^3\beta_n^3)\\ <& x_{n-1}^3(8 + 24\beta_{n-1} + 36\beta_{n-1}^2 + 32\beta_{n-1}^3 + 18\beta_{n-1}^4 + 6\beta_{n-1}^5 + \beta_{n-1}^6)\\ =& [x_{n-1}(\beta_{n-1}^2 + 2\beta_{n-1} + 2)]^3 < [x_{n-2}^3(\beta_{n-2}^2 + 2\beta_{n-2} + 2)^3]^3(\beta_{n-1}^2 + 2\beta_{n-1} + 2)^3\\ <& x_{n-2}^{3^2}(\beta_{n-2}^2 + 2\beta_{n-2} + 2)^{3^2+3^1}\\ <& \cdots < x_k^{3^{n-k}}(\beta_k^2 + 2\beta_k + 2)^{\frac{3(3^{n-k}-1)}{2}}\end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} m(H_n) =& x_n + 3y_n + 3z_n + w_n = x_n(1 + 3\alpha_n + 3\alpha_n\beta_n + \alpha_n\beta_n\gamma_n) \\ <& x_n(1 + 3\gamma_n + 3\gamma_n^2 + \gamma_n^3) = x_n(1+\gamma_n)^3 < x_k^{3^{n-k}}(\beta_k^2 + 2\beta_k + 2)^{\frac{3(3^{n-k}-1)}{2}}(1+\gamma_n)^3 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the lower bound for $m(H_n)$ can be derived. □

Lemma 4. *The entropy for the number of dimer-monomers in H_n is bonded by*

$$\frac{\ln x_k}{3^{k+1}} + \frac{\ln(\alpha_k^2 + 2\alpha_k + 2)}{2 \times 3^k} \le \mu_H \le \frac{\ln x_k}{3^{k+1}} + \frac{\ln(\beta_k^2 + 2\beta_k + 2)}{2 \times 3^k}$$

where k is a positive integer and $\mu_H = \lim_{v(H_n)\to\infty} \frac{\ln m(H_n)}{v(H_n)}$.

Proof. Note that the number of vertices of H_n is $v(H_n) = 3^{n+1}$, by Lemma 3, we have

$$\frac{\ln m(H_n)}{v(H_n)} < \frac{\ln x_k}{3^{k+1}} + \frac{\ln(\beta_k^2 + 2\beta_k + 2)}{2 \times 3^k} - \frac{\ln(\beta_k^2 + 2\beta_k + 2)}{2 \times 3^n} + \frac{\ln(1+\alpha_n)}{3^n}$$

and

$$\frac{\ln m(H_n)}{v(H_n)} > \frac{\ln x_k}{3^{k+1}} + \frac{\ln(\alpha_k^2 + 2\alpha_k + 2)}{2 \times 3^k} - \frac{\ln(\alpha_k^2 + 2\alpha_k + 2)}{2 \times 3^n} + \frac{\ln(1+\beta_n)}{3^n}$$

So, the bounds for $\mu_H = \lim_{v(H_n)\to\infty} \frac{\ln m(H_n)}{v(H_n)}$ follow. □

As k increases, the difference between the upper and lower bounder in Lemma 4 becomes small and the convergence is rapid. The numerical value of μ_H can be obtained with more than a hundred significant figures accurate when k is equal to seven. It is too lengthy to be included here and is available from the authors on request.

Proposition 5. *The entropy per site for the number of dimer-monomers of the Hanoi Tower graph H_n in the large n limit is $\mu_H = 0.57646430165052837 56\cdots$.*

4 The number of Dimer-monomers on X_n

In this section, we find that the method given in the previous section can be also applied to the number of dimer-monomers on another variation of the Sierpiński graphs X_n, see Figure 2. For X_n, the numbers of vertices and edges are given by

$$v(X_n) = \frac{7 \times 3^n - 1}{2}, \quad e(X_n) = \frac{5 \times 3^{n+1} - 9}{2}.$$

There are three outmost vertices of X_n with degree two, $\frac{3^n-1}{2}$ vertices with degree six and $3(3^n - 1)$ vertices with degree four. The values of x_n, y_n, z_n, w_n on X_n for small n are

Table 3: The first few values of x_n, y_n, z_n, w_n on X_n.

n	0	1	2	3
x_n	1	66	87,837,347	213,175,217,650,167,042,919,081,256
y_n	0	56	76,020,480	184,498,173,678,586,828,013,178,352
z_n	1	49	65,794,261	159,678,861,670,954,453,048,115,477
w_n	0	44	56,944,448	138,198,326,607,977,450,114,587,516

Table 4: The first few values of $\alpha_n, \beta_n, \gamma_n$ on X_n.

n	1	2	3
α_n	0.8484848484848485	0.8654687623932904	0.8654766520813835
β_n	0.875	0.8654807362437070	0.8654766520839932
γ_n	0.8979591836734694	0.8654926301246852	0.8654766520866030

listed in Table 3, and also grow exponentially, without simple integer factorizations. The recursion relations are lengthy and given in the appendix. Similarly, for the sequences of the ratio defined in Eq.(5) on X_n, $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ increases monotonically and $\{\gamma_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ decreases monotonically with $1/2 < \alpha_n < \beta_n < \gamma_n < 1$, and the limits α_n, β_n, and γ_n are equal to each other, the same as the results for H_n in lemma 2. And the first few values of $\alpha_n, \beta_n, \gamma_n$ for X_n are listed in Table 4.

By a similar argument as Lemma 4, the entropy for the number of dimer-monomers on X_n is bounded by

$$\frac{2\ln x_k + \ln(\alpha_n^2 + 8\alpha_n + 8) + 2\ln(\alpha_n^2 + 2\alpha_n + 2)}{7 \times 3^k} \leq \mu_X \leq \frac{2\ln x_k + \ln(\beta_n^2 + 8\beta_n + 8) + 2\ln(\beta_n^2 + 2\beta_n + 2)}{7 \times 3^k},$$

where k is a positive integer and $\mu_X = \lim_{v(X_n)\to\infty} \frac{\ln m(X_n)}{v(X_n)}$. The convergence of the upper and lower bounds remains rapid. More than a hundred significant figures for X_n can be obtained when k is equal to six. We have the following proposition.

Proposition 6. *The entropy per site for the number of dimer-monomers of the graph X_n in the large n limit is $\mu_X = 0.6719549820008285\cdots$.*

5 Appendix. Recursion relations for X_n

We give the recursion relations for the graphs X_n here. For any positive integer n, we have

$$x_{n+1} = T_n^3 + 3S_n^2T_n + 6S_nT_n^2 + 3x_nS_n^2 + 6x_nS_nT_n + 6S_n^3 + 6x_n^2S_n + x_n^3 = 32x_n^3 + 96x_n^2y_n + 24x_n^2z_n + 108x_ny_n^2 + 60x_ny_nz_n + 9x_nz_n^2 + 44y_n^3 + 39y_n^2z_n + 12y_nz_n^2 + z_n^3,$$

$$y_{n+1} = T_n^2P_n + 2S_nR_nT_n + S_n^2P_n + 2R_nT_n^2 + 2S_nT_nP_n + 2S_nT_nP_n + 2x_nS_nR_n + y_nS_n^2 + 2x_nR_nT_n + 2S_n^2R_n + 2S_n^2R_n + 2y_nS_nT_n + 2x_nS_nP_n + 2S_n^2R_n + 2x_ny_nS_n + 2x_n^2R_n + 2x_ny_nS_n + x_n^2y_n = 32x_n^2y_n + 32x_n^2z_n + 8x_n^2w_n + 64x_ny_n^2 + 88x_ny_nz_n + 20x_ny_n^2 + 20x_nz_n^2 + 6x_nz_nw_n + 36y_n^3 + 64y_n^2z_n + 13y_n^2w_n + 29y_nz_n^2 + 8y_nz_nw_n + 4z_n^3 + z_n^2w_n,$$

$$z_{n+1} = T_nP_n^2 + 2S_nR_nP_n + R_n^2T_n + 2S_nP_n^2 + 2R_nT_nP_n + 2R_nT_nP_n + 2y_nS_nR_n + x_nR_n^2 + 2y_nS_nP_n + 2S_nR_n^2 + 2S_nR_n^2 + 2x_nR_nP_n + 2y_nR_nT_n + 2S_nR_n^2 + 2x_ny_nR_n + 2y_n^2S_n + 2x_ny_nR_n + x_ny_n^2 = 32x_ny_n^2 + 64x_ny_nz_n + 16x_ny_nw_n + 36x_nz_n^2 + 20x_nz_nw_n + z_nw_n^2 + 32y_n^3 + 80y_n^2z_n + 20y_n^2w_n + 64y_nz_n^2 + 32y_nz_nw_n + 4y_nw_n^2 + 13z_n^3 + 8z_n^2w_n + 3x_nw_n^2,$$

$$w_{n+1} = P_n^3 + 3R_n^2P_n + 6R_nP_n^2 + 3y_nR_n^2 + 6y_nR_nP_n + 6R_n^3 + 6y_n^2R_n + y_n^3 = 32y_n^3 + 96y_n^2z_n + 24y_n^2w_n + 108y_nz_n^2 + 60y_nz_nw_n + 9y_nw_n^2 + 44z_n^3 + 39z_n^2w_n + 12z_nw_n^2 + w_n^3.$$



Figure 8: Illustration for the expression of x_{n+1}.



Figure 9: Illustration for the expression of y_{n+1}.



Figure 10: Illustration for the expression of z_{n+1}.



Figure 11: Illustration for the expression of w_{n+1}.

References

[1] B. Bollobás, B. D. McKay, The number of matchings in random regular graphs and bipartite graphs, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 41 (1) (1986) 80–91.

[2] T. H. Chan, A statistical analysis of the Towers of Hanoi problem, Int. J. Comput. Math. 28 (1989) 57-65.

[3] S. C. Chang, L.-C. Chen, Dimer-monomer model on the Sierpinski gasket, Physica A 387 (7) (2008) 1551–1566.

[4] P. Cull, I. Nelson, Error-correcting codes on the Towers of Hanoi graphs, Discrete Math. 208/209 (1999) 157-175.

[5] D. S. Gaunt, Exact series-expansion study of the monomer-dimer problem, Phys. Rev. 179 (1) (1969) 174–186

[6] O. J. Heilmann, E. H. Lieb, Monomers and dimers, Phys. Rev. Lett. 24 (25) (1970) 1412–1414.

[7] O. J. Heilmann, E. H. Lieb, Theory of monomer-dimer systems, Commun. Math. Phys. 25 (1972) 190–232.

[8] I. Gutman, O. E. Polansky, Mathematical Concepts in Organic Chemistry, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.

[9] A. M. Hinz, Pascals triangle and the Tower of Hanoi, Amer. Math. Monthly 99 (1992) 538-544.

[10] A. M. Hinz, Shortest paths between regular states of the Tower of Hanoi, Inform. Sci. 63 (1992) 173-181.

[11] A. M. Hinz, S. Klavžar, U. Milutinović, D. Parisse, C. Petr, Metric properties of the Tower of Hanoi graphs and Sterns diatomic sequence, European J. Combin. 26 (2005) 693-708.

[12] A. M. Hinz, D. Parisse, On the planarity of Hanoi graphs, Expo. Math. 20 (2002) 263-268.

[13] M. Jerrum, Two-dimensional monomer-dimer systems are computationally intractable, J. Stat. Phys. 48 (1-2) (1987) 121–134.

[14] Y. Kong, Monomer-dimer model in two-dimensional rectangular lattices with fixed dimer density, Phys. Rev. E (3) 74 (1) (2006) 061102, 15.

[15] C. K. Li, I. Nelson, Perfect codes on the Towers of Hanoi graph, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 57 (1998) 367-376.

[16] D. Romik, Shortest paths in the Tower of Hanoi graph and finite automata, SIAM J. Discrete Math. 20 (3) (2006) 610-622.

[17] E. Teufl, S. Wagner, Enumeration problems for classes of self-similar graphs, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 114 (2007) 1254C1277

[18] E. Teufl, S. Wagner, Enumeration of matchings in families of self-similar graphs, Discrete Appl. Math. 158 (2010) 1524–1535.

[19] E. Teufl, S. Wagner, Resistance scaling and the number of spanning trees in self-similar lattices, J. Statist. Phys. 142 (2011) 879-897.

[20] Z. Z. Zhang, Mononer-dimer model on a scale-free small-word network, Physica A 391 (2012) 828-833.